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#### Abstract

We collect some classical results about holomorphic 1-forms of a reduced complex curve singularity. They are used to study the pull-back of holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated complete intersection curve singularity under the normalization morphism. We wonder whether the Milnor number $\mu$ and the Tjurina number $\tau$ of any isolated plane curve singularity satisfy the inequality $3 \mu<4 \tau$.


## 1. Introduction

Consider a reduced complex curve singularity $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0\right)$, defined by an ideal $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}$, with $r=r(X, 0)$ branches. Let $\nu:(\bar{X}, \overline{0}) \rightarrow X, 0)$ be the normalization, where $(\bar{X}, \overline{0})$ is the multi-germ consisting of $r$ smooth branches. We set

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{O} & :=\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0} / I, \text { the local ring of the germ }(X, 0) ; \\
\overline{\mathcal{O}} & :=\nu_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \overline{0}}, \text { the direct image of the local ring of the multi-germ }(\bar{X}, \overline{0}) ; \\
\Omega & :=\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}^{1} / I \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}^{1}+\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0} d I, \text { the holomorphic 1-forms on }(X, 0) ; \\
\bar{\Omega} \quad:=\nu_{*} \Omega_{\bar{X}, \overline{0}}, \text { the direct image of the holomorphic 1-forms on }(\bar{X}, \overline{0}) ; \\
\omega & :=\omega_{X, 0}=E x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}^{N-1}}\left(\mathcal{O}, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}^{N}\right), \text { the dualizing module of }(X, 0) ; \\
T \Omega & :=H_{\{0\}}^{0}(\Omega), \text { the torsion submodule of the } \mathcal{O} \text {-module } \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

Let $d: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ be the exterior derivation. We have the following maps

$$
d \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \omega
$$

where $d \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ is the inclusion, $\Omega \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ is given by the pull-back of forms under the morphism $\nu$, and $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \omega$ is the inclusion, if we identify the dualizing module $\omega$ with the module of Rosenlicht's regular differential forms as explained in [BG80]. Then the maps $d \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \omega$ are clearly injective and $T \Omega$ is the kernel of the map $\Omega \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ (cf. [BG80]). We write $\bar{\Omega} / \Omega$ for the cokernel of the map $\Omega \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ and similarly for the other maps. These objects give rise to the following numerical invariants:

[^0]```
m := mt(X,0), the multiplicity of (X,0);
\delta}:=\delta(X,0)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{dim}}{\mathbb{C}}{}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O})\mathrm{ , the delta-invariant of }(X,0)
\mu}:=\mu(X,0)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{dim}}{\mathbb{C}}{}(\omega/d\mathcal{O})\mathrm{ , the Milnor number of (X,0);
\lambda}:=\lambda(X,0)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{dim}}{\mathbb{C}}{(\omega/\Omega);
\mp@subsup{\tau}{}{\prime}}:=\mp@subsup{\tau}{}{\prime}(X,0)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{dim}}{\mathbb{C}}{(T\Omega);
\tau}:=\tau(X,0)=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{dim}}{\mathbb{C}}{}(\mp@subsup{T}{X,0}{1}),\mathrm{ the Tjurina number of (X,0).
```

Here $T_{X, 0}^{1}$ is the tangent space of the base space of the semiuniversal deformation of $(X, 0)$. If $(X, 0)$ is a plane curve singularity with $I=\langle f\rangle$, then $\mu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{O} / J_{f}\right)$ (the classical Milnor number, cf. [BG80, M68]) and $\tau=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{O} /\langle f\rangle+J_{f}\right)$, where $J_{f}$ is the Jacobian ideal generated by the partials of $f$.

The aim of this note is to prove the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, 0)$ be a reduced complete intersection curve singularity. Then the following hold.
(1) $\tau=\tau^{\prime}=\lambda \geq \delta+m-r$,
(2) $\tau-\delta=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{\Omega} / \Omega)$. In particular, one has the equality

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{\Omega} / \Omega)=\delta-r+1
$$

if and only if the singularity $(X, 0)$ is weighted homogeneous.
(3) $\tau>\mu / 2$ if $(X, 0)$ is not smooth.

In the second section we recall a number of classical results on isolated complete intersection singularities (due to the second author with several co-authors, and written partly in German), which are somewhat scattered in the literature and apparently not well known. We collect them here with reference to the original sources. In the third section we give a quick proof of Theorem 1.1 using the results quoted before and discuss its relations with similar results by Delphine Pol, see Remark 3.1. In the final section we discuss the possible values of the quotient $\rho(X, 0)=\mu(X, 0) / \tau(X, 0)$ and ask whether $\rho(X, 0)<4 / 3$ for any plane curve singularity.

We would like to thank Mathias Schulze for a useful remark, see Remark 3.1.

## 2. The classical results

We start by recalling the following general result.
Theorem 2.1. ([BG80])
For a reduced curve singularity the following holds.
(1) $\mu=2 \delta-r+1$,
(2) $\mu \geq \lambda \geq \delta+m-r$,
(3) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega / d \mathcal{O})=\mu+\tau^{\prime}-\lambda$,
(4) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega / \bar{\Omega})=\delta$,
(5) If $(X, 0)$ is smoothable (e.g. if it is a complete intersection) then $\tau^{\prime} \geq \lambda$, with equality iff $\mu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega / d \mathcal{O})$.
Proof. All these claims are proved in [BG80]. Indeed, (1) is Proposition 1.2.1, (2) is Lemma 6.1 .2 , (3) appears in the proof of Theorem 6.1.3, (4) in the proof of Proposition 1.2.1, while (5) is Corollary 6.1.4 together with Corollary 6.1.6 of [BG80].

When $(X, 0)$ is a complete intersection, we have the following additional properties. Some of these results are also reproduced in Looijenga's book [L84], see in particular Section 8.C. In
the case of plane curves, the reader can also consult the introductory book [W04], in particular Section 11.6.

Theorem 2.2. ([Gr75] [Gr80]), [GMP85])
Let $(X, 0)$ be a reduced complete intersection curve singularity. Then
(1) $\mu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega / d \mathcal{O}), d \mathcal{O} \cap T \Omega=0$,
(2) $\tau=\tau^{\prime} \leq \mu$,
(3) $\tau=\mu$ iff $(X, 0)$ is quasihomogeneous.

Proof. Indeed, (1) is Proposition 5.1, resp. Lemma 4.5 in [Gr75] (for arbitrary positive dimensional isolated complete intersection singularities, resp. for complete intersections with arbitrary singularities, suitably modified), (2) is Satz $3.1(2 \mathrm{a})$ in [Gr80]. The claim (3) is Corollary 2.2 in [GMP85] (where also a generalization to Gorenstein curves is proved), while the plane curve case goes back to K. Saito [KS71].

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

The sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T \Omega \rightarrow \Omega / d \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \omega / d \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \omega / \Omega \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact by Theorem 2.2 (1) with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega / d \mathcal{O})=\mu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega / d \mathcal{O})$. Hence

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(T \Omega)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega / \Omega)=\lambda
$$

Claim (1) follows now from Theorem 2.2 (2) and Theorem 2.1 (2). The claim (2) is a consequence of the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} / \Omega \rightarrow \omega / \Omega \rightarrow \omega / \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 0
$$

together with (1), Theorem 2.1 (4) and the definition of $\lambda$. Using (1) and Theorem 2.1 (1) we get

$$
\tau \geq \delta+m-r=(\mu+r-1) / 2+m-r=\mu / 2+(m-1) / 2+(m-r) / 2>\mu / 2
$$

since $m \geq r$ and $m>1$ if $(X, 0)$ is not smooth.
Remark 3.1. It was drawn to our attention by Mathias Schulze that an alternative proof of the equality in Theorem 1.1 (2) can be obtained from [Pol14, Proposition 3.31]. Assume that $(X, 0)$ is irreducible for simplicity. Let $f_{1}=\cdots=f_{n}=0$ be the equations for the germ $(X, 0)$ in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0\right)$, with $N=n+1$ and $f_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\tau^{\prime}$ is the codimension of the Jacobian ideal $J_{X}$ in $\mathcal{O}$, where $J_{X}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, spanned by all the $n \times n$-minors in the Jacobian matrix $\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)_{i=1, n ; j=0, n}$, see [Gr75, Proposition 1.11(iii)]. Delphine Pol shows that one has the following equality

$$
J_{X}=g \cdot \frac{\nu^{*}(\Omega)}{d t}
$$

in the local ring $\overline{\mathcal{O}}=\mathbb{C}\{t\}$, where $g$ is a generator of the conductor ideal $C_{X}$. Note that the codimension of $J_{X}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is clearly by the above discussion $\tau+\delta$. Since $g$, regarded as an element of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}=\mathbb{C}\{t\}$, has order $\mu$, it follows that the codimension of $g \cdot \frac{\nu^{*}\left(\Omega_{X, 0}^{1}\right)}{d t}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by

$$
\mu+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{\Omega} / \Omega)
$$

The claim follows from these formulas. Note that both the proofs given, and the literature used, by Delphine Pol are quite different from ours.

Remark 3.2. (1) The equality $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$ holds more generally if $(X, 0)$ is Gorenstein, which follows from local duality. For an arbitrary reduced curve singularity $(X, 0)$ the relation between $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ is unclear. It is an old and still open question if for a non smooth $(X, 0)$ we have always $\tau>0$ (i.e. $(X, 0)$ is not rigid) and $\tau^{\prime}>0$ (Berger's conjecture).
(2) For a plane curve singularity $(X, 0)$ the expression $\tau-\delta$ appears also as the codimension of the extended tangent space to the orbit of the parametrization $(\bar{X}, \overline{0}) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ of $(X, 0)$ by the action of the right-left group $\mathcal{A}$ of Mather ([GLS07, Proposition II.2.30(5)]).

## 4. A REmark on the quotient $\mu / \tau$

Assume in this section that we are in the case of plane curve singularities, and we write $f_{1}=f$ to simplify our notation. Let $M(f)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0} / J_{f}$ be the Milnor algebra of the singularity $(X, 0)$, where $J_{f}$ denotes the Jacobian ideal of $f$. Let $\langle f\rangle$ denote the principal ideal spanned by $f$ in $M(f)$ and ker $m_{f}$ denote the kernel of the morphism $m_{f}: M(f) \rightarrow M(f)$ given by the multiplication by $f$. Then we know that $\langle f\rangle \subset \operatorname{ker} m_{f}$, see [BrS74]. Moreover, one has $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle f\rangle)=\mu-\tau$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{ker} m_{f}\right)=\tau$. Using this approach, Yongqiang Liu has shown in [Li17] that

$$
\tau \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu
$$

He asked there which values can take the quotient

$$
\rho:=\rho(X, 0)=\mu(X, 0) / \tau(X, 0)
$$

The obvious inequality $\tau \leq \mu$ and Theorem 1.1 (3) show that

$$
1 \leq \rho(X, 0)<2
$$

when $(X, 0)$ is non smooth. It also shows that the inclusion of ideals $\langle f\rangle \subset \operatorname{ker} m_{f}$ is strict when $(X, 0)$ is not a smooth germ.

To construct singularities $(X, 0)$ with a large quotient $\rho(X, 0)$ is not easy, since the Tjurina number $\tau(X, 0)$ is difficult to compute in general, e.g. since it is not a topological invariant it cannot be expressed in terms of Puiseux pairs.
Example 4.1. There is a sequence of isolated plane curve singularities $\left(X_{m}, 0\right)$ such that the sequence of rational numbers $\rho\left(X_{m}, 0\right)$ is strictly increasing with limit $4 / 3$. Moreover, the singularities can be chosen to be all either irreducible, or consisting of smooth branches with distinct tangents.

In the irreducible case, consider the sequence of singularities

$$
\left(X_{m}, 0\right): f=x^{2 m+1}+x^{m} y^{m+1}+y^{2 m}=0
$$

Then the associated projective plane curve of degree $d=2 m+1$

$$
C: x^{2 m+1}+x^{m} y^{m+1}+y^{2 m} z=0
$$

is free with exponents $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=(m, m)$, see [DSt17, Theorem 1.1]. This implies that

$$
\tau=\tau\left(X_{m}, 0\right)=\tau(C)=(d-1)^{2}-d_{1} d_{2}=3 m^{2}
$$

see [DSt17, Equation (2.2)]. Since clearly $\left(X_{m}, 0\right)$ is a semi-weighted homogeneous singularity, it follows that $\mu=\mu\left(X_{m}, 0\right)=2 m(2 m-1)$, and hence the claim follows in this case.

In the case of singularities consisting of smooth branches with distinct tangents, consider the sequence

$$
\left(X_{m}, 0\right): f=x^{2 m+1}+y^{2 m+1}+x^{m+1} y^{m+1}
$$

Again $\left(X_{m}, 0\right)$ is a semi-weighted homogeneous singularity, and from that we get

$$
\mu=\mu\left(X_{m}, 0\right)=4 m^{2}
$$

To determine the Tjurina number, note that the monomials $x^{a} y^{b}$ for $0 \leq a, b \leq 2 m-1$ form a basis for the Milnor algebra $M(f)$. The Euler formula implies that the monomial $x^{m+1} y^{m+1}$ belongs to the ideal $(f) \subset M(f)$. To get a basis for the Tjurina algebra $T(f)=M(f) /(f)$ of $f$, we have to discard from the above basis all the multiples of $x^{m+1} y^{m+1}$, namely $(m-1)^{2}$ elements. It follows that $\tau=\tau\left(X_{m}, 0\right)=4 m^{2}-(m-1)^{2}$, which yields the claim in this case as well.

Question 4.2. Is it true that

$$
\rho(X, 0)=\mu(X, 0) / \tau(X, 0)<\frac{4}{3}
$$

for any isolated plane curve singularity?
The answer to this question is positive for semi-quasi-homogeneous singularities $(X, 0)$; see the recent preprint [AB18].

## References

[AB18] Almirón, P.;Blanco,G.: A note on a question of Dimca and Greuel. arұiv: 1807.05967
[BrS74] Briançon, J. ; Skoda, H.: Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Zbl 0307.32007, C.R.A.S. 278 (1974), 949-951.
[BG80] Buchweitz, R.-O.; Greuel, G.-M.: The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities. Zbl 0458.32014, Invent. Math. 58, 241-281 (1980). DOI: 10.1007/BF01390254
[DSt17] Dimca, A. ; Sticlaru, G.: On the exponents of free and nearly free projective plane curves, Rev. Mat. Complut. 30(2017), 259-268. DOI: 10.1007/s13163-017-0228-3
[Gr75] Greuel, G.-M.: Der Gauß-Manin-Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten. Zbl 0285.14002, Math. Ann. 214, 235-266 (1975). DOI: 10.1007/BF01352108
[Gr80] Greuel, G.-M.: Dualität in der lokalen Kohomologie isolierter Singularitäten. Zbl 0417.14003 Math. Ann. 250, 157-173 (1980). DOI: 10.1007/BF02599793
[GMP85] Greuel, G.-M.; Martin, B.; Pfister, G.: Numerische Charakterisierung quasihomogener GorensteinKurvensingularitäten. Zbl 0587.14016, Math. Nachr. 124, 123-131 (1985). DOI: 10.1002/mana. 19851240109
[GLS07] Greuel, G.-M.; Lossen, C.; Shustin, E.: Introduction to Singularities and Deformations. Zbl 1125.32013 Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Berlin, 471 p. (2007).
[Li17] Liu, Yongqiang: Milnor and Tjurina Numbers for Hypersurface Germ with Isolated Singularity. ar $\chi$ iv: 1708.09716
[L84] Looijenga, E.: Isolated Singular Points on Complete Intersections. Zbl 0552.14002, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 77, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1984).
[M68] Milnor, J.: Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces. Zbl 0184.48405, Ann. of Math. Studies, 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.
[Pol14] Pol, D.: On the values of logarithmic residues along curves, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 68 (2018), no. 2, 725-766.
[KS71] Saito, K.: Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen. Zbl 0224.32011, Invent. Math., 14 (1971), 123-142. DOI: 10.1007/BF01405360
[W04] Wall, C.T.C.: Singular Points of Plane Curves. Zbl 1057.14001, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 63, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2004).

Alexandru Dimca, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD, France
Email address: dimca@unice.fr
Gert-Martin Greuel, Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany
Email address: greuel@mathematik.uni-kl.de


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H20; Secondary 14F10, 14H50, 32S05.
    Key words and phrases. complete intersection, curve singularity, Tjurina number, Milnor number, delta invariant, normalization.
    ${ }^{1}$ This work has been supported by the French government, through the UCA ${ }^{\text {JEDI }}$ Investments in the Future project managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) with the reference number ANR-15-IDEX-01.

